UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/998,621	11/30/2001	11/30/2001 Masato Takahashi		4928		
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 09/25/2007 ARBY P.C		EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 770			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE			
Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3781			
			·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/25/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/998,621	TAKAHASHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Robin A. Hylton	3781
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>0 July 2007</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	· ·
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>3-6,9,10,12 and 13</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,6,9 and 10</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	M. Laffin and a Same of	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ :	accepted or b) □ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· -	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	rospiyod
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	iist of the certified copies fiol	. receiveu.
Attach=sert(s)	·	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \square Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No.	(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 7, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 3,5,12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art container illustrated in figures I and 2 of the instant application.

The prior art assembly teaches all but the claimed shape of the sealing element.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the sealing element with a coupling feature on a face of the endless portion and a flexible, obliquely protruding portion projecting from a peripheral portion of the endless portion, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Providing the projecting portion obliquely allows for more surface contact between that portion of the sealing element and the associated container or lid (or fitted element and fitting element).

With regard to claim 13, additionally tapering the protruding part from the proximal part toward the distal end allows more flexibility of the protruding portion to bend, thus, forming a better seal as is evidence, by the patents to Simm et al and Gueret.

evidenced by Baldoni et al and Krampotich et al for providing a better sealing effect accommodating imperfections in the sealing surfaces and/or a better engagement of the

endless portion within the fit-holding portion.

Regarding the direction of curvature of the protruding portion, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the protruding portion to extend in an outward or inward direction most suitable for the desired fit between the associated lid and container body.

Regarding the size of the ribs, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to utilize ribs of differing sizes as evidence by Semon, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. To vary the size of the ribs allows for a greater sealing effect and better engagement of the endless portion within the fit-holding portion.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4,6,9, and 10 are allowed over the art of record.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed July 10, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the subcombination claims are not persuasive since the positive recitation of the functional language requires the engagement of the container. Thus, the claims are not allowable.

Conclusion

6. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and

art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Application/Control Number: 09/998,621

Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.

- 9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 10. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

1	hereby certify that this	correspondence	e for Application	ı Serial No	is being facsimiled to
The U.S.	Patent and Trademark	Office via fax r	number 571-273	-8300 on the d	late shown below:

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate					
Signature			1		
Date					

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have

Application/Control Number: 09/998,621 Page 6

Art Unit: 3781

questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH September 17, 2007

> /Robin A. Hylton/ Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781